



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,512	02/11/2002	Tom Faciszewski	S-020211US	8237
75	90 07/25/2003			
Stephen Faciszewski, Esq.			EXAMINER	
c/o M331 Staadt Marshfield, WI 54449			MCCROSKY, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	
				2/
				$\sim$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EC.
-----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,512	FACISZEWSKI, TOM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. McCrosky	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
LC Potent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3736

>

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because informal Figure 2d does not show any of the structural features described in the specification. In addition, the dotted assembly lines in Figures 1 and 10 are misleading. The drawings illustrate the distal end of trocar (9) being inserted into proximal end of biopsy device (18) and distal end of biopsy device (18) being inserted into proximal end of cannula (21), which is the opposite of what is described in the specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3736

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the placement device" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Neill. The reference discloses a biopsy device (50) with a removable handle means (70). A cannula (30, 40) contains a channel and is capable of telescoping over the biopsy device when the handle is removed. See Figure 4. The distal end of the handle means is capable of engaging the proximal end of the cannula. The handle means is further detachable from a placement means (52, 53). Placement means may also comprise a trocar (60), which has an inner channel, (col. 5, I. 66 to col. 6, I. 9.) and/or a guide wire (10).

It has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. <u>In re Hutchison</u>, 69 USPQ 138 (CCPA 1946).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,512 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neill as applied to claims 1, 4, 7 and 8 above. O'Neill discloses a device as recited for claims 1, 4, 7 and 8 but does not teach the claimed dimensions. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use the claimed dimensions since Applicant has not disclosed that using these dimensions solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the device would perform equally well with dimensions of any similar size.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not teach a cannula that is guided into position by a biopsy device to maintain the tract established by the biopsy device.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/074,512

Art Unit: 3736

512 Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. McCrosky whose telephone number is 703-305-1331. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric F. Winakur can be reached on 703-308-3940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DJM July 18, 2003

> MAX F. HINDENBURG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700